

REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL

Attorney Docket No.	10-008
Application Number	10/759,182
Filing Date	January 20, 2004
First Named Inventor	DUFFIE, III et al.
Group Art Unit	2141
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$ 0
Examiner Name	SERRAO, Ranodhi N

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/2004)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	35	35	0	\$50.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	4	0	X \$200.00 =	\$0

Since an Official Action set an original due date of ___, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120); 2 months (\$450); 3 months (\$1020); 4 months (\$1590); 5 months (\$2160)):

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130)

+

Total of above Calculations = \$0

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

-

TOTAL FEES DUE = \$0

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
- (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
- (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
- (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- Check enclosed as payment.
 Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

AUTHORIZATION

- If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.:

50-1130

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter)

10-008

SUBMITTED BY: LEON R. TURKEVICH, ESQ.

Typed Name	Leon R. Turkevich	Reg. No.	34,035
Signature		Date	April 24, 2006



Docket No.: 10-008

PATENT

AF2141
ZPW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**
DUFFIE, III *et al.* : **UNDER 37 CFR §1.116**
Serial No.: 10/759,182 : Group Art Unit: 2141
Filed: January 20, 2004 : Examiner: SERRAO, Ranodhi N

For: ARRANGEMENT IN AN IP NODE FOR PRESERVING SECURITY-BASED
SEQUENCES BY ORDERING IP PACKETS ACCORDING TO QUALITY OF
SERVICE REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO ENCRYPTION

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Official Action mailed February 23, 2006, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the telephonic interview between the undersigned and Examiner Serrao on April 24, 2006. The Examiner indicated during the telephonic interview that the lack of any initials on the Form PTO 1449 considered August 8, 2005 for the reference "RAMAKRISHNAN et al, 'The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP', Request for Comments: 3168, Network Working Group, September 2001" was an oversight. The Examiner agreed to issue, upon the next communication from the Patent Office, an updated Form PTO 1449 with the Examiner's initials indicating consideration of the cited document "RAMAKRISHNAN et al, 'The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP', Request for Comments: 3168, Network Working Group, September

Response After Final filed Monday, April 24, 2006
Appln. No. 10/759,182
Page 1

OK TO ENTER: /R.N.S./

03/03/2008